


PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the:
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

Griffith Hack
GPO Box 1285K
MELBOURNE VIC 3001



PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) - 4 AUG 2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference
FP20012

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/AU2004/000916

International filing date (day/month/year)
7 July 2004

Priority date (day/month/year)
7 July 2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
Int. Cl. ⁷ B42D 15/10, B44F 1/12

Applicant

COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH ORGANISATION et al

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: pct@ipaustalia.gov.au
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

Authorized Officer
PETER T. WEST
Telephone No. (02) 6283 2108

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000916

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. **type of material**
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. **format of material**
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. **time of filing/furnishing**
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. **Additional comments:**

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000916

Box No. V **Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----|
| Novelty (N) | Claims 1 to 46 | YES |
| | Claims | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims 1 to 46 | YES |
| | Claims | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims 1 to 46 | YES |
| | Claims | NO |

2. Citations and explanations:

The following documents identified in the International Search Report have been considered for the purposes of this report:

D1 US 5784200 A (MODEGI) 21 July 1998
D2 WO 2000/002067 A1 (CSIRO) 13 January 2000
D3 WO 1995/002200 A1 (CSIRO) 19 January 1995
D4 WO 1991/003747 A1 (CSIRO) 21 March 1991
D5 Derwent Abstract Accession No. 94-275751/34, Class P75 P76 P84,
JP 6-206394 A (DAINIPPON PRINTING CO LTD) 26 July 1994
D6 Derwent Abstract Accession No. 98-134949/13, Class V07,
JP 10-010956 A (TOPPAN PRINTING CO LTD) 16 January 1998
D7 Derwent Abstract Accession No. 2002-210241/27, Class P76;T04,
JP 2001-249209 A (TOPPAN PRINTING CO LTD) 14 September 2001
D8 Derwent Abstract Accession No. 2002-200428/26, Class P76;V07,
JP 2002-032023 A (DAINIPPON PRINTING CO LTD) 31 January 2002

Novelty (N)

All the documents cited in the ISR were category A only. Therefore the claimed invention is not disclosed in any of these patent documents and hence all the claims are novel.

Inventive Step (IS)

The claimed invention is not obvious in the light of any of the cited documents nor is it disclosed in any obvious combination of them. It is also considered that it would not be obvious to a person skilled in the art in the light of common general knowledge either by itself or in combination with any of these documents.